

REMARKS

The Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment referenced above stated that claim 74 filed in the amendment of April 18, 2008 include text "a. b. c."; however the text of claim 74 filed April 3, 2007 include text "d. e. f.". Further, Claim 83 filed in amendment of April 18, 2008 include text "a. b. c. d"; however, the text of claim 83 filed April 3, 2007 include text "g. h. i. j". The Examiner suggested that appropriate underlining and/or strike-through is necessary in claims 74 and 83.

This Response attempts to make the suggested corrections suggested by the Examiner. No new matter has been added.

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments to the claims, and the remarks presented herein, it is respectfully submitted that the remaining claims are not shown or made obvious by the prior art, and are therefore allowable. Allowance of the claims presently in the application is respectfully solicited.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner grant allowance to the pending claims. Applicant believes that the claims are proper, definite, and define novel subject matter that is also non-obvious.


If for any reason, this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, Applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 2173.02 and § 707.07(j) in order that the undersigned can place this application in fully allowable condition.

August 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

RANDICK O'DEA & TOOLIATOS, LLP

By:



John Nielsen, USPTO Reg. No. 53,392
Patent Counsel for Applicant

5000 Hopyard Road, Ste. 400
Pleasanton, CA 94588
Phone: (925) 460-3700
E-mail: jnielsen@randicklaw.com